

The time lag between a carbon dioxide emission and maximum warming increases with the size of the emission

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2015 Environ. Res. Lett. 10 031001

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/10/3/031001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 85.69.104.167

This content was downloaded on 21/06/2017 at 10:39

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Maximum warming occurs about one decade after a carbon dioxide emission](#)

Katharine L Ricke and Ken Caldeira

[What determines the warming commitment after cessation of CO₂ emissions?](#)

Dana Ehlert and Kirsten Zickfeld

[How difficult is it to recover from dangerous levels of global warming?](#)

J A Lowe, C Huntingford, S C B Raper et al.

[The effectiveness of net negative carbon dioxide emissions in reversing anthropogenic climate change](#)

Katarzyna B Tokarska and Kirsten Zickfeld

[Examination of a climate stabilization pathway via zero-emissions using Earth system models](#)

Daisuke Nohara, J Tsutsui, S Watanabe et al.

[On the proportionality between global temperature change and cumulative CO₂ emissions during periods of net negative CO₂ emissions](#)

Kirsten Zickfeld, Andrew H MacDougall and H Damon Matthews

[Atmospheric carbon dioxide removal: long-term consequences and commitment](#)

Long Cao and Ken Caldeira

[Extending the relationship between global warming and cumulative carbon emissions to multi-millennial timescales](#)

Thomas L Frölicher and David J Paynter

Environmental Research Letters



PERSPECTIVE

The time lag between a carbon dioxide emission and maximum warming increases with the size of the emission

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED

24 January 2015

REVISED

9 February 2015

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

16 February 2015

PUBLISHED

10 March 2015

Kirsten Zickfeld¹ and Tyler Herrington^{1,2}¹ Department of Geography, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., Canada² Department of Geography, Douglas College, New Westminster, B.C., CanadaE-mail: kzickfel@sfu.ca**Keywords:** carbon dioxide emissions, warming commitment, ocean thermal inertia, Earth System Modelling

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

**Abstract**

In a recent letter, Ricke and Caldeira (2014 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9** 124002) estimated that the timing between an emission and the maximum temperature response is a decade on average. In their analysis, they took into account uncertainties about the carbon cycle, the rate of ocean heat uptake and the climate sensitivity but did not consider one important uncertainty: the size of the emission. Using simulations with an Earth System Model we show that the time lag between a carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission pulse and the maximum warming increases for larger pulses. Our results suggest that as CO₂ accumulates in the atmosphere, the full warming effect of an emission may not be felt for several decades, if not centuries. Most of the warming, however, will emerge relatively quickly, implying that CO₂ emission cuts will not only benefit subsequent generations but also the generation implementing those cuts.

In a recent letter, Ricke and Caldeira [1] estimated that the time lag between a carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission and the maximum warming response is a decade on average. This is an important finding as it indicates that the full climate damages expected to occur in response to a CO₂ emission will already be felt by the generation responsible for those emissions. Conversely, the relatively short response timescale implies that CO₂ emission cuts implemented today have the potential to influence the rate of warming in the short term. Thus, their finding corroborates the notion that the rate of warming over the next decades is not inevitable, but will be determined by future CO₂ emissions [2].


A range of previous studies has explored the warming commitment of past CO₂ emissions [3–9]. A robust finding of these studies is that the CO₂-induced warming persists for many centuries. The novel aspect of the letter by Ricke and Caldeira [1] (henceforth referred to as R&C) is its focus on the time lag between a CO₂ emission and the resulting maximum warming response.

In their study, R&C used simple representations of the carbon cycle and the physical climate system. The carbon cycle was simulated by impulse response functions derived from the response of a range of Earth System Models to a 100 GtC emission pulse [10]. The

physical climate system was represented by one-dimensional diffusion or two-box models fitted to Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5) simulations with an abrupt quadrupling of atmospheric CO₂. Combinations of these models made it possible to sample 6000 realizations of the coupled climate-carbon cycle system.

For a 100 GtC pulse of CO₂ released into the atmosphere with a background CO₂ concentration of 389 ppm, R&C found the median time between an emission and maximum warming to be 10.1 years, with a 90% probability range of 6.6–30.7 years.

A pulse emission of CO₂ results in an abrupt increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, followed by a slow decline as CO₂ is taken up by the ocean and terrestrial biosphere. The radiative forcing associated with the CO₂ increase causes warming, but the warming is delayed due to the long timescales of ocean heat uptake. Initially, the ocean takes up a large amount of heat, but this heat uptake decreases over time, allowing the atmosphere to warm. The timing of peak warming is determined by the balance between two opposing processes: the decline of radiative forcing of atmospheric CO₂ following the pulse emission (which has a cooling effect on the atmosphere), and the decrease of ocean heat uptake (which has a warming effect).



This balance is sensitive to a range of factors, which differ widely across Earth System Models: the sensitivity of the marine and terrestrial carbon sinks to changes in atmospheric CO₂ and climate, which determines the decline of atmospheric CO₂; the rate of mixing of heat into the deep ocean, which determines the decrease of ocean heat uptake; and the equilibrium climate sensitivity which also controls the response timescale of the system. R&C take these uncertainties into account and show that uncertainty in the temperature response timescale can only be narrowed if uncertainty in all three contributing factors is reduced.

One important factor that can be expected to affect the timing of maximum warming, however, was not considered in the uncertainty analysis: the size of the CO₂ emission pulse. As the pulse size increases, the CO₂ decline from peak levels slows [4]. The decline in radiative forcing slows even more due to its logarithmic dependence on atmospheric CO₂. At the same time the decrease in ocean heat uptake is also reduced, delaying the warming further. The combination of these two processes has the potential to significantly delay the time of peak warming for larger CO₂ emission pulses.

Figure 1 shows the temperature response to pulse emissions ranging from 100 GtC (the pulse size used in the analysis of R&C) to 5000 GtC, as simulated with the University of Victoria Earth System Climate Model (UVic ESCM) [4, 11]. For a pulse emission of 100 GtC the temperature response has a double-peak structure: the first peak occurs in response to the abrupt increase in radiative forcing, amplified by climate feedbacks. The temperature decline from the first peak is caused by the relatively fast decrease in atmospheric CO₂ and thus radiative forcing, which exceeds the decrease in ocean heat uptake. The run-up to the second peak is caused by the decrease in ocean heat uptake now

exceeding the decrease in radiative forcing. The second peak is reached when these two processes balance. If the time-horizon of the analysis is limited to 100 years (as in R&C), the maximum warming occurs at year 11, in agreement with the median of R&C. For pulse emissions of 1000 GtC, the double-peak shape remains but is less pronounced and the maximum warming occurs 31 years after the emission. For very large pulses (5000 GtC), the first peak disappears due to the decline in ocean heat uptake overwhelming the radiative forcing decline. In this case, the maximum warming occurs 785 years after the emission.

The response time to larger pulses matters, as future cumulative CO₂ emissions are almost certain to exceed 100 GtC. These cumulative emissions will arise from successive small emission pulses, and the response time for the total emission will be the average response time for these individual pulses. From our finding that the response time increases with pulse size it follows that the response time of an individual CO₂ emission is longer the later it is released (i.e. the more CO₂ has already accumulated in the atmosphere).

Our results indicate that as CO₂ continues to accumulate in the atmosphere, the full warming effect of an emission may take several decades, if not centuries to emerge. A large fraction of the warming, however, will be realized relatively quickly (93% of the peak warming is realized 10 years after the emissions for the 1000 PgC pulse). This implies that the warming commitment from past CO₂ emissions is small, and that future warming will largely be determined by current and future CO₂ emissions. Each additional CO₂ emission will contribute to warming that will persist almost indefinitely. Thus, emission reductions implemented today will equally benefit current and future generations.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Michael Eby and H Damon Matthews for insightful discussions. Kirsten Zickfeld acknowledges support from the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant Program.

References

- [1] Ricke K and Caldeira K 2014 Maximum warming occurs about one decade after a carbon dioxide emission *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9** 124002
- [2] Matthews D and Solomon S 2013 Irreversible does not mean unavoidable *Science* **340** 438–9
- [3] Matthews H D and Caldeira K 2008 Stabilizing climate requires near-zero emissions *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35** L04705
- [4] Eby M, Zickfeld K, Montenegro A, Archer D, Meissner K J and Weaver A J 2009 Lifetime of anthropogenic climate change: millennial time scales of potential CO₂ and surface temperature perturbations *J. Clim.* **22** 2501–11
- [5] Solomon S, Plattner G K, Knutti R and Friedlingstein P 2009 Irreversible climate change due to carbon dioxide emissions *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 1704–9
- [6] Gillett N P, Arora V K, Zickfeld K, Marshall S J and Merryfield W J 2011 Ongoing climate change following a complete cessation of carbon dioxide emissions *Nat. Geosci.* **4** 83–7
- [7] Zickfeld K, Arora V K and Gillett N P 2012 Is the climate response to CO₂ emissions path dependent? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** L05703
- [8] Zickfeld K *et al* 2013 Long-term climate change commitment and reversibility: an EMIC intercomparison *J. Clim.* **26** 5782–809
- [9] Herrington T and Zickfeld K 2014 Path independence of climate and carbon cycle response over a broad range of cumulative carbon emissions *Earth Syst. Dyn.* **5** 409–22
- [10] Joos F *et al* 2013 Carbon dioxide and climate impulse response functions for the computation of greenhouse gas metrics: a multi-model analysis *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **13** 2793–825
- [11] Weaver A J *et al* 2001 The UVic Earth system climate model: model description, climatology, and applications to past, present and future climates *Atmos.-Ocean* **39** 361–428